# \*\*\* NOTE: TO RETURN TO THIS PAGE, CLICK ON THE COUNTY SEAL \*\*\*

CLICK HERE FOR CEO's REPORT DATED JULY 1, 2009

CLICK HERE FOR AUDITOR-CONTROLLER'S REPORT DATED AUGUST 19, 2009 Á Á ÔŠÔSÁP ÒÜÒÁZUÜÁÚWÓŠÔÁP ÒŒŠVP €ÁÜÒÚUÜVÁÖŒVÒÖÁÙÒÚVÒT ÓÒÜÁŒÆ€JÁ





# County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

July 1, 2009

Board of Supervisors GLORIA MOLINA First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY Third District

DON KNABE Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH Fifth District

To:

Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman

Supervisor Gloria Molina

Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From:

William T Fujioka

Chief Executive Officer

200 JUL - 1 PM 4:
BOARD OF SUPERVISO

INTERIM REPORT ON REVIEW OF TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER CONTRACTS

On June 16, 2009, your Board approved a motion by Supervisors Antonovich and Yaroslasky, amended by Supervisor Ridley-Thomas, instructing the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to work with the Auditor-Controller (A-C), County Counsel, and all affected departments to identify and review all of the County's contracts with Tarzana Treatment Center to determine: 1) the appropriateness of the expenditures and charges made under these contracts and report back to the Board in 60 days, with an interim status report in two weeks; 2) Tarzana's compliance with all relevant County rules, ordinances, and regulations, and to take appropriate action in all instances in which it is determined that Tarzana has in fact not complied.

Additionally, your Board approved amended terms of 90 days for the Tarzana Treatment Center (Tarzana) contracts before your Board on June 16, 2009, pending the outcome of the CEO's review. Finally, your Board authorized the Director of the Department of Public Health (DPH) to extend the contracts with Tarzana on a month-to-month basis for up to one year, if additional time is needed to complete the requested review.

This is to provide your Board with the requested interim status report. On June 25, 2009, this Office convened a workgroup consisting of the A-C, County Counsel and DPH to review a preliminary listing of contracts with Tarzana and to discuss a course of action. A listing of all County contracts with Tarzana has been compiled and the workgroup will coordinate with affected County departments to validate the data and

Each Supervisor July 1, 2009 Page 2

ensure completeness and accuracy. The A-C will proceed with reviewing the appropriateness of expenditures and charges made under these contracts. A 60 day report will be provided to your Board with the A-C's findings and recommendations, as appropriate.

All DPH Tarzana contracts approved by your Board on June 16, 2009 have been modified to reflect a 90-day contract term with a month-to-month option to extend, not to exceed June 30, 2010. The month-to-month extension will be exercised should the CEO's report not be submitted to your Board within 90 days.

If you have any questions please contact me, or your staff may contact Tami Omoto-Frias at (213) 893-9741 or tomoto-frias@ceo.lacounty.gov.

WTF:SRH:SAS MLM:TOF:bjs

c: Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors 

County Counsel

Auditor-Controller

Director and Health Officer, Department of Public Health

070109\_HMHS\_MBS\_Tarzana Treatment Center



# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-3873 PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

ASST. AUDITOR-CONTROLLERS

ROBERT A. DAVIS JOHN NAIMO JUDI E. THOMAS

MARIA M. OMS CHIEF DEPUTY

August 19, 2009

TO:

Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman

Supervisor Gloria Molina

Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich and L. Walante

FROM:

Wendy L. Watanabe

Auditor-Controller

SUBJECT: TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER

(BOARD AGENDA ITEM #35, JUNE 16, 2009)

On June 16, 2009, the Board of Supervisors directed the Chief Executive Officer to work with the Auditor-Controller, County Counsel, and the affected departments to identify and review all of the County's contracts with the Tarzana Treatment Center (Tarzana or Agency) to determine: 1) the appropriateness of the expenditures and charges made under these contracts; and 2) Tarzana's compliance with all relevant County rules, ordinances, and regulations. Specifically, the Board motion cited concerns over costs (i.e., executive compensation, rental/lease payments involving Tarzana-owned properties, and legal/consulting fees paid to Tarzana's Chief Executive Office) billed to the County.

Tarzana Treatment Center provides behavioral healthcare and addiction treatment services in Los Angeles County. Tarzana's services include alcohol and drug addiction treatment, mental health treatment, medical detoxification and residential rehabilitation for teens/youth and adults. Tarzana also provides outpatient services, sober living housing, after care, HIV/AIDS services, Prop 36 services (Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act), family medical care, women's services, family counseling, domestic violence intervention, anger management and community education services. County contracts with Tarzana to provide services to eligible County residents, principally the uninsured and low income population. Tarzana operates its treatment facilities in the Third, Fourth and Fifth Supervisorial Districts.

During Fiscal Year (FY) 2008-09, Tarzana had 33 active contracts with six County departments, i.e., Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS), Department of Health Services (DHS), Department of Mental Health, Probation, Department of Public Health (DPH) and Department of Public Social Services, (see Attachment I). The County's maximum contract obligation totaled \$29.1 million.

## Approach/Objectives

To evaluate the Board's concerns, we sampled four contracts, three Fee for Service (i.e., DCFS - Wraparound, DHS - Public Private Partnership, and DPH - Alcohol and Drug) and one cost reimbursement (i.e., DPH - OAPP/Case Management) to determine if Tarzana appropriately charged the County for services provided in accordance with its contract and applicable County, State and federal fiscal guidelines. We examined Tarzana's monthly claims, indirect costs (i.e., executive compensation, rent/lease payments and legal/consulting fees) and supporting documentation, including accounting/payroll records, invoices, federal income tax returns, consultant/vendor contracts, lease agreements, etc. In addition, we reviewed client files to verify whether Tarzana billed the County for services provided to eligible program participants. We also interviewed Tarzana's executive management and the Agency's Certified Public Accountant.

## Results of Review

The Agency maintained documentation to support its monthly claims, indirect costs and services provided to program participants. The amounts billed to the County were generally consistent with the Agency's County contracts and applicable County, State, and federal fiscal guidelines. However, we noted instances where Tarzana billed the County for unallowable costs.

Details of our review of Tarzana's monthly claims and indirect costs (i.e., executive compensation, rent/lease payments, and legal/consulting fees) are discussed below.

#### Monthly Claims

For the monthly claims (i.e., October 2008, December 2008, February 2009 and April 2009) sampled, we noted the following:

<u>Fee for Service</u> - We determined that Tarzana billed the appropriate per-unit-of-service rate in accordance with each contract provision. For example, Tarzana billed \$130 per residential day for each client enrolled in the women's residential program. No additional costs were billed and the County paid Tarzana only the negotiated rate. We also verified each unit of service the Agency billed to the County by tracing the unit of service to each participant's case file.

We also reviewed a sample of additional expenditures to determine whether they were allowable under the County contract, properly documented and accurately charged to the program. According to the Auditor-Controller Contract Accounting and Administration Handbook, only those expenditures that are necessary and reasonable to carry out the purpose of the Program are allowable.

We noted \$6,327 in tobacco purchases reported under the Alcohol and Drug Residential Program (Residential). However, the \$6,327 represents an expenditure allocable to all of Tarzana's Residential contracts, both County and non-County (e.g., federal, State, etc.). The County considers such expenditures as unallowable costs.

#### Recommendation

1. Tarzana management ensure that program expenditures are allowable and related to program activities.

Tarzana management concurs with the recommendation and has agreed to take appropriate corrective action.

<u>Cost Reimbursement</u> - We reviewed each cost category (e.g., salaries, travel, supplies, consultants, rent, etc.) billed by Tarzana to determine the appropriateness of the expenditures and compliance with County rules and regulations. Based on our review of employee timecards, payroll register, vendor invoices, utility bills, etc., Tarzana's costs are appropriate and comply with County contract requirements.

### Recommendation

None

#### Indirect Costs

Indirect costs are those costs that have been incurred for common or joint objectives and cannot be readily identified with a particular program, service or activity. Examples of indirect costs include the salaries and expenditures of executive officers (i.e., executive compensation), lease payments, depreciation, legal and accounting services, etc. For non-profit organizations, these costs are normally classified into one overall pool of costs and are allocated amongst the organization's programs using an approved indirect cost rate. For FY 2008-09, Tarzana's federally approved indirect cost rate was 39.1%. Based on our review, we did not note any instances where Tarzana billed the County in excess of 39.1% for indirect costs.

As previously noted, your Board expressed concerns over Tarzana's executive compensation, lease payments, and legal/consulting fees. To address the Board's

concerns, we reviewed the expenditures and methodology used to compute Tarzana's approved indirect cost rate and noted the following:

<u>Executive Compensation</u> – Tarzana has three executive managers (i.e., Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer). During our test period, the federal cap for executive compensation used in computing the indirect cost rate was \$186,600 per person.<sup>1</sup> Although the actual compensation paid to each Tarzana executive exceeded \$186,600, Tarzana did not exceed the federal cap when computing its indirect cost rate of 39.1% and they did not charge the County salaries in excess of what was allowed. In fact, Tarzana used amounts in its computation that were well below the federal limit.

### Recommendation

#### None

<u>Rent/Lease Payments</u> - According to Tarzana's audited financial statements, it leases 32 real property locations from which various County and non-County programs and services are administered. Four members of Tarzana's Board of Directors, and one immediate family member, hold controlling interest in six of the 32 properties (see Attachment II). When related party transactions exist, the County contract does not allow agencies to charge the County for more than the lower of actual cost or fair market value of the property from the related party.<sup>2</sup>

For the six properties noted above, Tarzana paid rent based on the fair market value of the properties. Tarzana should have charged the County actual cost rather than fair market value. We determined that Tarzana's fair market value exceeded the actual property cost by \$1.5 million. However, the \$1.5 million represents expenditures allocable to Tarzana's County and non-County contracts. Because Tarzana operates County and non-County programs, the amount reimbursable to the County would be less than \$1.5 million. Tarzana needs to determine the amount of rent allocable to County programs and report the applicable amount to the Auditor-Controller for review. Tarzana also needs to ensure that rent/lease expenditures are in accordance with OMB guidelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' National Institute of Health (NIH) - <a href="http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/salcap\_summary.htm">http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/salcap\_summary.htm</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Circular A-122, a less-than-arms-length lease is one under which one party to the lease agreement is able to control or substantially influence the actions of the other. Such leases include, but are not limited to, those between an organization and a director, trustee, officer, or key employee of the organization or his immediate family either directly or through corporations, trusts, or similar arrangements in which they hold a controlling interest.

### Recommendations

#### Tarzana management:

- 2. Determine the amount of rent allocable to County programs, and report the applicable amount to the Auditor-Controller for review.
- 3. Ensure that rent/lease expenditures reported are in accordance with OMB guidelines.

Tarzana management concurred with the recommendations and indicated that they will work with the Auditor-Controller to make the appropriate adjustments. The Agency has already begun initial steps to determine the allocable cost of the properties. Tarzana management stated it was committed to complying with all County and OMB requirements.

<u>Legal/Consulting Fees</u> — Tarzana incurred \$301,128 in legal fees during the review period. Of this amount, Tarzana paid \$225,000 (\$18,750 per month retainer fee) to Woodlake Law Corporation (Woodlake). A member of Tarzana's executive management/Board of Directors is a principal partner in Woodlake. Woodlake contractually serves as Tarzana's legal counsel for all general corporate (non-litigation) matters including, reviewing government contracts, property leases, purchase contracts, employment contracts, license agreements, liability analysis, insurance, equipment and tax leases, etc. The remaining \$76,128 was paid to four other firms for litigation-related services.

We determined that Tarzana's computation of its federally approved indirect cost rate did not include amounts paid to Woodlake. We also verified that, for our sample contracts, Tarzana did not directly bill the County for any legal fees.

#### Recommendation

None

#### Summary

We determined that Tarzana generally billed the County for costs consistent with the terms contained in its County contracts. However, as indicated above, we noted a few instances where Tarzana allocated unallowable costs to County programs. Tarzana's management has indicated that it will work with the Auditor-Controller to make appropriate adjustments to ensure its compliance with all relevant requirements and regulations. We will follow-up within ninety days to ensure Tarzana made the appropriate adjustments.

## **Acknowledgment**

On August 13, 2009, we met with CEO and departmental representatives to discuss the results of our review. On August 14, 2009, we discussed the results with Tarzana management. We thank Tarzana management and staff for their cooperation and assistance throughout our review.

If you have any questions, please call me or your staff may contact DeWitt Roberts at (213) 253-0199.

WLW:MMO:DR L:\Tarzana Board Order

#### Attachments

c: William T Fujioka, Chief Executive Officer
Robert E. Kalunian, Acting County Counsel
Patricia S. Ploehn, Director, Department of Children and Family Services
John F. Schunhoff, Interim Director, Department of Health Services
Marvin J. Southard, Director, Department of Mental Health
Robert Taylor, Chief Probation Officer
Jonathan E. Fielding, Director and Health Officer, Department of Public Health
Philip L. Browning, Director, Department of Public Social Services
Scott Taylor, President/Chief Executive Officer, Tarzana Treatment Center
Public Information Office
Audit Committee

|                          | Department<br>Subtotals       | 00   \$ 1,255,200                             | 26      | 1,678,723                        |           | 36 2,086,036                         | 000 220,000           | 54                     | 37                   |                                      | 26.                               | 22                            | 73                           | 603                         | 28                | 31                            |  | 60                            |  | 154                             | 161                                    | 44                                  | 135                           | 104                                | 747                           | 000                          | 119                                      | 120                              | 136                              | 125  | 080                                | 98,                                 | 23,6                                 | 10 000                                      |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
|                          | FY 2008/09<br>Contract Amount | \$ 1,255,200                                  | 115 497 | 1,563,226                        | as needed | 2,086,036                            | 220,000               | 742,554                | 1,470,437            |                                      | Z,360,Z30                         | 4 141 822                     | 4 788 973                    | 353 893                     | 245 826           | 232 831                       | 700.663                                | 124,209                       | 319,511                                  | 162,654                         | 97,861                                 | 430,144                             | 148,635                       | 71,804                             | 425,747                       | 156,000                      | 60,919                                   | 341,220                          | 323,036                          | 90,525                                       | 292,090                            | 2000                                | 126,725                              | 10 000                                      |
|                          | Indirect<br>Cost Rate         | N/A   | N/A     | N/A                              | NIA       | N/A                                  | 10%                   | N/A                    | N/A                  |                                      | 4 2                               |                               |                              |                             |                   | C S N                         | Z Z                                    | NIA                           | 10%                                      | 15%                             | 10.20%                                 | 2.26%                               | 2.54%                         | 8.33%                              | 8.4% - 8.65%                  | 15%                          | N/A                                      | N/A                              | N/A                              | N/A  | 15%                                | 5.77% - 8.92%                       | 9.77%                                |   |
| t Center                 | Type of Teimbursement (1)     | FFS   | 523     | S.H.                             | FFS       | FFS                                  | FFS and Admin Fee     | Staff Hours            | FFS                  |                                      | FFS & COST                        | ETC 8 Ctoff House             | EEC & Staff Hours            | EES & Stoff Hours           | FES GENERAL HOURS | 2 2 2                         | S H                                    | Staff Hours                   | Cost                                     | Cost                            | Cost                                   | Cost                                | Cost                          | Cost                               | Cost                          | FFS & Cost                   | FFS                                      | FFS                              | FFS                              | FFS  | FFS & Cost                         | FFS & Cost                          | Cost                                 | FES   |
| Tarzana Treatment Center | Contract No. Reimbu           | 04-011-32                                     | U703459 | H703410                          | MH120266  | MH040072                             | 64007116              | H-702189               | H-702192             |                                      | H-/02231                          | DU 000464                     | PH-000104                    | 000000                      | PH-000400         | 20400404                      | PH-000404                              | PH-000410                     | H-300271-2                               | PH000231-1                      | H-210794-4                             | H-210795-17                         | H-300127-7                    | H-700268-2                         | H-700887 17-20                | H-700890 7-8                 | H-700979-4                               | H-700982-2                       | H-700983-4                       | H-701004-6                                   | H204608-15                         | H209018-10                          | H209078-14                           | ESA07040                                    |
| Ta                       | Contract Name                 | Tarzana Treatment Centers. Inc Wraparound (2) |         | Tarzana Treatment Center PPP (2) |           | Tarzana Treatment Center, Inc. EPSDT | JJCPA HR/HN HomeBased | Tarzana Treatment CASC | Tarzana Treatment GR | Tarzana Treatment Center Drug Court, | rouin, nonkesidenilai biock Grani | TABBANA TERATMENT CENTED D 20 | Torgon Troopment Center F-50 | Torrot Trooperation Control |                   | TADDANA TREATMENT CENTER DINC | TARZANA I REALIMENT CENTER FAMI DEP DO | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER PPNP | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER Outreach initia | Tarzana Treatment Centers, Inc. | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER Mental Health | Tarzana Treatment Center Nochaa (2) | Tarzana Treatment Center Jail | Tarzana Treatment Center Self Help | Tarzana Treatment Center HERR | Tarzana Treatment Center MTU | Tarzana Treatment Center HIV trans house | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER HIV res | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER HIV Dtx | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER, INC. HIV trans hse | TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER, INC. CAN | Tarzana Treatment Center HIV MED OP | Tarzana Treatment Center Tx Advocacy | EO Application Towner Treatment Conter Inc. |
|                          | Department                    | DCFS  | ond     | DHS                              | DMH       | DMH                                  | Probation             | ОРН                    | DPH                  | į                                    | Нас                               | 140                           | 1 1 1 1                      |                             | 1 4 4             |                               | 100                                    | DPH                           | DPH                                      | DPH                             | DPH                                    | DPH                                 | DPH                           | DPH                                | DPH                           | DPH                          | DPH                                      | DPH                              | DPH                              | DPH  | DPH                                | DPH                                 | DPH                                  | 0000  |
|                          |                               | -   | ,       | 4 m                              | 4         | S                                    | 9                     | 7                      | 8                    |                                      | n ;                               | 2 7                           | = \$                         | 4 6                         | 5 5               | ‡ u                           | 0 4                                    | 17                            | 18                                       | 19                              | 20                                     | 21                                  | 22                            | 23                                 | 24                            | 25                           | 26                                       | 27                               | 28                               | 29   | 30                                 | 31                                  | 32                                   | 3   |

(1) FFS = Fee For Service contract. Cost = Cost Reimbursement contract.
 (2) This contract was selected for expenditure review.

Total County Contract Obligation \$ 29,094,654

| Pr                                       | Properties Owned by Tarzana Board Members/Executives | a Board Memb               | ers/Executive                | w        |                           |       |           |
|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Facilities Owned                         | Lessor   | Related Party<br>Ownership | Annual Lease<br>(Fair Value) | 0        | Total Cost<br>by Facility | 2 6 8 | Variance  |
| 44447 N. 10th Street West, Lancaster, CA | ter, CA 10th Street West LLC                         | 100%                       | 000'009 \$                   | <i>⊕</i> | 168,499                   | ↔     | 431,501   |
| 907 Lancaster Blvd. Lancaster, CA        | Lancaster Health Center LLC                          | 100%                       | \$ 129,000                   | \$ 00    | 38,722                    | ↔     | 90,278    |
| 8330 Reseda Blvd. Los Angeles, CA        | Reseda Medical Center LLC                            | 100%                       | \$ 352,452                   | \$ 22    | 272,939                   | ↔     | 79,513    |
| 7101 Baird Ave. Los Angeles, CA          | Baird Enterprises LLC                                | 100%                       | \$ 392,040                   | \$ 0:    | 128,347                   | ↔     | 263,693   |
| 18646 Oxnard Street, Tarzana, CA         | Oxnard Property LTD                                  | %05                        | \$ 739,642                   | \$ 24    | 201,096                   | €9    | 538,546   |
| 18700 Oxnard Street, Tarzana, CA         | Yolanda Equity Partners LLC                          | 100%                       | \$ 402,792                   | \$ \$    | 321,189                   | ↔     | 81,603    |
|  |  |                            | \$ 2,615,926                 | \$ 97    | 1,130,792                 | 69    | 1,485,134 |



JONATHAN E. FIELDING, M.D., M.P.H. Director and Health Officer

JONATHAN E. FREEDMAN

Chief Deputy Director

313 North Figueroa Street, Room 806 Los Angeles, California 90012 TEL (213) 240-8117 • FAX (213) 975-1273

www.publichealth.lacounty.gov

September 2, 2009

OF LOS

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** 

Gloria Molina First District

Mark Ridley-Thomas Second District

Zev Yaroslavsky Third District

Don Knabe Fourth District

Michael D. Antonovich Fifth District

TO:

Each Supervisor

FROM:

Jonathan E. Fielding, M.D., M.P.R

Director and Health Officer

SUBJECT:

TARZANA TREATMENT CENTER

(BOARD AGENDA ITEM #35, JUNE 16, 2009)

On June 16, 2009, the Board approved a motion by Supervisors Antonovich and Yaroslavsky, amended by Supervisor Ridley-Thomas, instructing the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to work with the Auditor-Controller (A-C), County Counsel, and all the affected departments to identify and review all of the County's contracts with Tarzana Treatment Center (Tarzana); to amend the contracts with Tarzana to modify the term of each contract so that the contracts are effective for 90 days, pending the outcome of the CEO's review; and to authorize the Director of Public Health to extend the contract with Tarzana on a month-to-month basis for up to one year in the event the CEO's report is not submitted to the Board within 90 days. The amendment by Supervisor Ridley-Thomas required the Department of Public Health (DPH) to provide a status report to the Board on its efforts to create a fair and competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) process for all alcohol and drug treatment program contracts. The DPH status report on the resolicitation process will be provided to the Board offices under a separate cover.

This purpose of this memorandum is to provide a status report about the contracts the DPH Alcohol and Drug Program Administration (ADPA) maintains with Tarzana. As instructed by the Board, all alcohol and drug services contracts approved by the Board on June 16, 2009 were renewed with one-year terms, with the exception of the agreements with Tarzana. The term of Tarzana's contracts was changed to allow for an automatic month-to-month continuance of the contracts through June 30, 2010, unless the ADPA Director sends Tarzana a 30-day advance notice that the contracts will not be extended beginning the following month.

On August 19, 2009, A-C issued a report of the findings from the review of Tarzana's contracts. On page 5 of the report, under the "Summary" section, A-C "determined that Tarzana generally billed the County for costs consistent with the terms contained in its contract." A-C found two minor areas of non-compliance, and plans to follow up with Tarzana within 90 days to ensure Tarzana made the appropriate adjustments.